

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Fatent and Trademark Office Address: COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/943,341	08/31/2001	Zhi Li Guo	JP9-2000-0257-US1	4907
33233	7590 08/23/2005		EXAM	INER
	CE OF CHARLES W.	NGUYEN, CHAU T		
11703 BOWN SUITE 100	IAN GREEN DRIVE		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
RESTON, VA 20190			2176	
			DATE MAILED: 08/23/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Advisory Action	09/943,341	GUO ET AL.	
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Chau Nguyen	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addre	ss
THE REPLY FILED 02 August 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or this application, applicant must timely file one of the for places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comfollowing time periods: The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing 	Ilowing replies: (1) an amendment, a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in npliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The rep	affidavit, or other eviden a compliance with 37 CF	ce, which R 41.31; or
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the		is later. In no
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07	(b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE F 7(f).	IRST REPLY WAS FILED \	WITHIN TWO
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three monearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	n and the corresponding amount of the fee. statutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension to final Office action; or (2) as	fee under 37 s set forth in (b)
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in co- of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply mus 	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of	the appeal.
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejectio (a) They raise new issues that would require further (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE be (c) They are not deemed to place the application in bappeal; and/or (d) They present additional claims without canceling NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)	consideration and/or search (see NC elow); petter form for appeal by materially r a corresponding number of finally re	OTE below); reducing or simplifying th	
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 15. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be	1.121. See attached Notice of Non-C (s):		
the non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a how the new or amended claims would be rejected is p The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: 17,18,21 and 22.	a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ v		
Claim(s) rejected: <u>2-7,9-16,19 and 20</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			41
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			

WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER

13. Other: _____.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be

11.

The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).

10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).

entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome all rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: In the remarks, Applicant argued that the prior art of record does not disclose comparing an aggregate sum with said score sum, the aggregate sum being a sume of aggregated word scores and aggregated sentence scores. Fein discloses a summarizer initially scores (score sum) all of the sentences (page 5, paragraph [0055]), and comparing the sentence string (aggregated sentence score) to a pre-compiled list of words and phrases (initially scores) (page 4, paragraph [0040]). Applicant also argued that the prior art does not disclose the correlation degree between the word and the user information. Weeks discloses a system for summarizing data set stores target data items and divides the data sets into sections (sentences or words), and the target data items stored by user directly or via a user profile, each section is compared against the target data items and a ranking value is calculated for each section (Abstract and col. 2, lines 1-26). Since Applicant did not add any new issues to the pending claims, Examiner's maintained the final rejection under Fein, Kupiec and further in view of Weeks.

WILLIAM BASHORE
WILLIAM BASHORE
PRIMARY EXAMINER
8/18/2005